I thought when we were elected to the Senate, it was a question of priorities and choices. When I first came to the Senate, I heard this would be a great job if you didn't have to vote. I laughed when I first heard that. Now it is back. It is a great job if you don't have to vote. Now we are prohibited from voting and indicating our priorities on H-IB and the Latino and Immigrant Fairness Act. It is unfortunate that this is the case

I am going to print in the RECORD a number of the letters that have been sent to me in support of these provisions. Some of the most moving ones have been from some of the religious organizations.

I want to be notified by the Chair when I have 10 minutes remaining.

I have a letter from the Lutheran Immigration and Refugee Service, one of the very best refugee services. I have followed their work over a long period of time. They are first rate. Here is what they wrote:

We understand and appreciate the needs of our country's high-tech industries and universities for highly skilled employees. We also feel, however, that legislation to benefit the most advanced sectors of our society should be balanced with relief for equally deserving immigrants who fled persecution and political strife, seek to remain with close family members or long worked equally hard in perhaps less glamourous jobs. A comprehensive bill would be a stronger bill vindicating both economic and humanitarian concerns.

They have it just about right.

I have another letter from the Jesuit Conference that says:

As you aim to make our immigration policy more consonant with U.S. reality, we ask you to recognize the present situation of thousands of immigrants from El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Haiti who fled political and economic turmoil in their countries years ago and are now living and working in the United States without permanent immigration status. Many of those immigrants have built families here and have strengthened the U.S. economy by providing services to the manufacturing industry with the essential low-wage workers they need. Congress has already acknowledged the need to ameliorate the harsh effects of the 1996 immigration law. In 1997, it passed the Nicaraguan Adjustment and Central American Relief Act that allowed Cubans and Nicaraguans to become permanent residents, but gave Salvadorans and Guatemalans limited opportunities to do so.

Haitians and Hondurans were completely excluded from the 1997 law. In 1997, Haitians were given hope for equal treatment and fairness by passage of the Haitian Relief Act, but the spirit of the legislation was ultimately thwarted by messy and slow law-making. It is time to remedy the unequal treatment received by Central Americans and Caribbeans once and for all.

The list goes on with group after group representing the great face of this nation pointing out the moral issues involved. Evidently they are not of sufficient and compelling nature that we are permitted to get a vote in the Senate. We are denied that opportunity, even though there is support from a long list of groups that understand the economic importance of this

to certain industries. But the moral reasons, the family reasons, the sense of justice which are underlined by members of the religious faith I find compelling.

I believe deeply that by failing to act, we are denying ourselves a great opportunity to remedy a great injustice.

HATE CRIMES

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, last Friday night, an armed man walked into a gay bar in Roanoke, VA and opened fire wounding six gay men and killing another. According to news reports, the gunman asked for directions to the closest gay bar and confessed that he was shooting them because they were gay. This vicious shooting was clearly a crime motivated by hate. The victims were targeted solely because of their sexual orientation. The message of hate against the gay community was clear.

Hate crimes are a national disgrace. They are an attack on everything this country stands for. They send a poisonous message that some Americans are second class citizens because of their race, their ethnic background, their religion, their sexual orientation, their gender or their disability. We need to take a strong and unequivocal stand against these despicable crimes whenever and wherever they happen.

This Congress has a real opportunity to make a difference in the fight against hate-motivated violence. Two months ago, as an amendment to the Defense Authorization Bill, a strong bipartisan majority of the Senate voted in favor of hate crimes legislation that will close the loopholes in current law. I pay tribute to the Presiding Officer for his strong support of this endeavor. The House of Representatives has also demonstrated its strong bipartisan support for passing this important legislation on the defense bill.

Despite this unique opportunity, the Republican leadership in the Senate and the House continue to oppose including the hate crimes provisions in the conference report on the Defense Authorization Bill. By removing hate crimes legislation from the bill, the Republican leadership will send a disturbing message about its lack of commitment to equal protection of the law and to civil rights for all Americans.

I urge Majority Leader LOTT, Speaker HASTERT, and the conferees on the Defense Bill to do the right thing. Both the House and the Senate strongly favor action this year against hate crimes. Now is the time for the Congress to act by sending a clear and unmistakable signal to the American people that the federal government will do all it can to see that these despicable offenses are punished with the full force of the law.

Just last Friday night, one of the most horrendous and horrific kinds of crimes was committed by an armed man walking into a gay bar in Roa-

noke, VA. Interestingly, Virginia has hate crimes legislation, but it is not based upon sexual orientation. So that is a major opening in that law.

The legislation, which has passed in the Senate, would be able to address this issue. We should have the opportunity to vote on it. It was included in the defense authorization bill. It was strongly supported on the instructions by the House of Representatives. That conference is still open. I am a member of that conference. It is one of the last remaining items. It ought to be included. If we need a reminder of why it is important to pass this legislation, we have that tragic circumstance.

Mr. President, how much time do I have remaining?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator has 1 minute 20 seconds.

Mr. KENNEDY. I thought I asked for a 10-minute warning.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. That is 1 minute 20 seconds prior to the 10 minutes.

Mr. KENNEDY. I thank the Chair.

THE ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, I raise one other item of priority, and that is the failure to take action on the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

If we don't take action, this will be the first time in 35 years where the Senate has failed to take action on the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. I, again, bring to the attention of our colleagues the commitment that was made by the majority leader going back to 1999.

On January 6, 1999, he said:

Education is going to be a central issue this year. . . . For starters, we must reauthorize the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. That is important.

On January 29, 1999:

But education is going to have a lot of attention, and it's not going to just be words. . . .

On June 22, 1999:

Education is number one on the agenda for Republicans in the Congress this year. . . .

On February 1, 2000:

We're going to work very hard on education. I have emphasized that every year I've been Majority Leader. . . . And Republicans are committed to doing that.

On February 3, 2000:

We must reauthorize the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. . . . Education will be a high priority in this Congress.

Here we are in May of 2000:

vote. . . . But education is number one in the minds of the American people all across this country and every state, including my own state. For us to have a good, healthy, and even a protracted debate and amendments on education I think is the way to go.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator now has 10 minutes.

Mr. KENNEDY. I thank the Chair.

I ask the Chair to let me know when I have 2 minutes remaining.

Final statement, July 25: